

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/938,540

Applicant(s)

Moeckel et al.

Examiner

Christian L. Fronda

Art Unit 1652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Christian L. Fronda Charten T. Tunle	(3)
(2) Thomas Barnes	(4)
Date of Interview Oct 23, 2003	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e)区 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1-7, 10, and 11	
Identification of prior art discussed: Chang et al. and Sanchez et al.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	d. g) 🛛 was not reached. h) 🗌 N/A. all nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
amendment to the claims to overcome the stated rejection	ffice Action dated 8/26/2003 (Paper No. 12) and proposed ins and objections.
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required